

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,202	06/23/2005	Masao Mori	123647	9024
25944 7 OLIFF & BERR	590 04/23/2007 IDGE, PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928			CUTLIFF, YATE KAI RENE	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/533,2	02	MORI ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit	Γ		
		Yate K. C	Cutliff	1609			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- nication. tory period will apply and v II, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUN went, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO plication to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	n)⊠ This action is r or allowance excep	non-final. t for formal ma	• •	e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the apy 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4,8,10 and 11 is/are reject Claim(s) 2,5-7,9 and 12-14 is/are object Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the	withdrawn from conted. cted to. on and/or election i	requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	•			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/15/006</u> .	D-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-7 in part and claims 8-14 in part in the reply filed on February 23, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that claims 1-14 are sufficiently related such that a thorough search and examination can be conducted without serious burden. This was persuasive because under MPEP § 803.

The restriction requirement as set for the in the Office Action mailed on February 8, 2007 is has been reconsidered in view of applicants arguments. The restriction requirement is withdrawn and claims 1-14 in total were considered in the following examination.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Therefore, claims 1-14 are under consideration and currently pending.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,202 Page 3

Art Unit: 1609

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Abraham et al. (U.S. Patent 3,175,950).

Abraham et al. discloses a species that is fully embraced by the claimed genus.

Abraham et al. discloses the following compound.

The structure as shown appears to have two cyclohexane rings; however, Abraham et al. defines the rings as two benzene rings. Specifically, the compound above, according to Abraham et al., includes the principal chromophoric group a benzanilide nucleus. (See column 1, lines 45-49). The base structure for a benzanilide is known in the art as having two phenyl groups as exemplified by attachment A-1.

The compound as shown in column 3 at lines 48- 50 of Abraham et al., anticipates claims 1 and 8 when X represents NH, Z represents a phenyl group substituted by a carboxyl group, and Y represents a phenyl group.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,202 Page 4

Art Unit: 1609

4. Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Baudet (WO 92/19223).

Baudet. discloses a species that is fully embraced by the claimed genus. Baudet discloses the following compound of formula IV in their claim 4.

The above compound anticipates claim 8 when X represent O, Z represents a phenyl group substituted by a carboxyl group, and Y' represents a phenyl group substituted by a methoxy group.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1609

7. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8 10 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sabatelli (U.S. Patent 4,937,370) in view of Baudet (WO 92/19223) See PTO-1449, Cite No. 1, received June 28, 2005.

Sabatelli teaches a sunscreen compound of the basic formula:

X-B-Z

The description of the genus, as set out in column 4 lines 6-19 and lines 40-68, and columns 5 and 6 of the Sabatelli specification.

Baudet's claim 4 discloses a N-phenyl-cinnaamamide of formula IV, see 102(b) above.

The description of the genus of Sabatelli is broader than the instant claims. However, Baudet is a subgenus within the genus of Sabatelli and substantially similar to the compounds of claims 1 3, 4, 8, 10 and 11 as disclosed by the instant invention. The only difference between the compound of Baudet's claim 4 and those of Applicant's claims 3, 4, 10, and 11 is the location of the "methoxy" when Y or Y' is a phenyl; and the location of the methyl when Z is a phenyl. Since the compound of Baudet's claim 4 is used as UV protection, it would be prima facie obvious to move the substitutients around the phenyl ring as Applicant has done in the compounds of claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, and 11, under the contemplation that the same positive results would be achieved because of very close structural similarities. Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art a the time that Applicant's invention was made to expect that the compounds of claims 3, 4, 10 and 11 would function in an equivalent manner to Baudet and the genus of Sabatilli.

Art Unit: 1609

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 12 - 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the references teach a compound or suggest a compound for protection against ultraviolet rays as described in Claims 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 12 – 14.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yate K. Cutliff whose telephone number is (571) 272-9067. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tssang can be reached on (571) 272 - 0562, or Janet Andres at (571) 272 - 0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Additional references are listed on PTO Form 892 that are considered to be relevant to the state of the art but which were not cited in this office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,202 Page 7

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yaté K. Cutliff Patent Examiner Art Unit 1609, Group Technology Center 1600

/ VICKIE KIM RIMARY EXAMINER

```
L16 ANSWER 1 OF 1 REGISTRY COPYRIGHT 2007 ACS on STN
     93-98-1 REGISTRY
RN
     Entered STN: 16 Nov 1984
     Benzamide, N-phenyl- (CA INDEX NAME)
OTHER CA INDEX NAMES:
     Benzanilide (8CI)
OTHER NAMES:
     Benzoic acid anilide
     N-Benzoylaniline
CN
     N-Phenylbenzamide
CN
CN
     N-Phenylbenzenecarboxamide
CN
    NSC 3131
DR
     100747-15-7
MF
     C13 H11 N O
CI
     COM
LC
     STN Files:
                 AGRICOLA, ANABSTR, AQUIRE, BEILSTEIN*, BIOSIS, CA, CAOLD,
       CAPLUS, CASREACT, CHEMCATS, CHEMINFORMRX, CHEMLIST, CIN, CSCHEM,
       DETHERM*, EMBASE, GMELIN*, IFICDB, IFIPAT, IFIUDB, MEDLINE, MRCK*,
       MSDS-OHS, PROMT, SPECINFO, SYNTHLINE, TOXCENTER, USPAT2, USPATFULL
         (*File contains numerically searchable property data)
                     DSL**, EINECS**, TSCA**
     Other Sources:
         (**Enter CHEMLIST File for up-to-date regulatory information)
```

PROPERTY DATA AVAILABLE IN THE 'PROP' FORMAT

1677 REFERENCES IN FILE CA (1907 TO DATE)
61 REFERENCES TO NON-SPECIFIC DERIVATIVES IN FILE CA
1681 REFERENCES IN FILE CAPLUS (1907 TO DATE)
1 REFERENCES IN FILE CAOLD (PRIOR TO 1967)